

STAFF REPORT
Proposed Veranda Master Plan and Subdivision

HEARING DATE: September 7, 2021

REPORT DATE: May 3, 2022

TO: Gresham Planning Commission

FROM: Ken C. Onyima, Senior City Planner

FILE NUMBER: MPLAN 21- 00652 (SD/MIS 20-26000343)

PROPOSAL: To establish an applicant-initiated Pleasant Valley Master Plan and 187-lot subdivision for an approximately 38.9-acre area. All existing improvements on the site will be removed and the current well and onsite septic system will be decommissioned.

The applicant proposes shifting the zoning district boundaries and platting 187-lot subdivision on the LDR-PV and MDR-PV portions of the site. The majority of the area with ESRA Overlay will be set aside as undevelopable Tract A. A second track for slope and tree protection will be established at the SE corner of the site.

APPLICANT: Jim Leeper

REPRESENTATIVE: Ray Moore, All County Surveys and Planners

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Section 1S3E20D Tax Lot 1200

LOCATION: 7928 SE 190th Dr.

EXHIBITS: A. Vicinity Map
B. Applicant's Narrative and Plans
C. Wetland Delineation Concurrence by DSL (January 23, 2020)
D. Revised Wetland Report by Castle-Rose (September 22, 2021)

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends denial of the proposed applicant-initiated Pleasant Valley Veranda Master Plan and the 187-lot subdivision.

SECTION I

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Background

As required, the applicant is engaging in an applicant-initiated master plan of an area that is within the Pleasant Valley area and subject to the Pleasant Valley Plan District development code requirements. The development code requires the Planning Commission to approve a master plan showing more detailed plans on street and block layout, neighborhood design, interface with natural resources, housing variety and other issues. It can be seen as an intermediate step between the adopted Pleasant Valley plan and subsequent land division and/or site design review development plans.

This area is located south of the Pleasant Valley Phase 1 Master Plan. The area is generally bounded on the west by SE 190th Dr., Kelly Creek to the north and vacant properties to the east and south.

SECTION II

APPLICABLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CODE PROCEDURES

Section 11.0101	Development Permit Required
Section 11.0204	Type III Procedure – Quasi-Judicial Hearing
Sections 11.0210-11.0214	Processing Development Actions
Section 11.0300	Public Deliberations and Hearings

SECTION III

APPLICABLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CODE

Sections 4.1470-4.1472	Master Plan – General
Sections 4.1473-4.1482	Master Plan Submittal Requirements and Standards
Sections 4.1483-4.1486	Master Plan Procedures

SECTION VI

FINDINGS OF FACT

The proposed private-initiated Veranda Master Plan consistent with all applicable procedures and requirements of the Community Development Plan Code as indicated in the following findings.

A. Community Development Code Procedures

1. Section 11.0101 – Development Permit Required. This section requires that no development may occur without first obtaining a development permit. The applicant has initiated this Pleasant Valley Master Plan.

2. Section 11.0204 – Type III Procedure – Quasi-Judicial Hearing. This section requires that the Planning Commission shall hold a public hearing and make a final decision on Type III Master Plan application. Interested persons may present evidence and testimony relevant to the proposal. The Planning Commission will make findings for each of the applicable criteria. The section also provides for a hearing process consistent with Section 11.0300. The Planning Commission, at public hearings in conformance with provisions of this section, will consider this proposal. Findings are made for the applicable criteria in this report or as revised in the record.

3. Sections 11.0210-11.0214 -- Processing Development Actions. These sections generally provide for how an application shall be made and the timelines for which the City must act on the application. As this is an applicant-initiated Master Plan provisions for pre-application conference, submittal requirements, and timelines for city actions apply.

A pre-application meeting was held on July 23, 2019, while the ENN meeting was held on October 23, 2020. The application was submitted on December 22, 2020 and deemed incomplete on January 14, 2021. Completeness was forced on July 19, 2021. A request for 120-day extension was made by the applicant on September 24, 2021. This will extend the 120-day to January 5, 2022.

4. Section 11.0300 – Public Deliberations and Hearings. This section requires that for a Type III Planning Commission hearing that a notice is posted in a conspicuous place along affected street frontages, mailed to all property owners within 300 feet of subject property and to any City-recognized Neighborhood Association and published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City at least 20-day prior to the hearing. A notice was posted on the site on April 15, 2022. The notice was mailed to all property owners and property owners within 300 feet of the area on April 19, 2022. The notice was published in the Gresham Outlook, on April 20, 2022. All dates are at least 20

days prior to the July 9 hearing date. The Planning Commission will make a decision that will be based on findings of fact contained in this report and in the hearings record and a decision will be sent to those who participated in the hearings. A decision shall be made accompanied by findings and an order.

B. Pleasant Valley Master Plans -- General

4.1471 Applicability

Master plan approvals are required before or concurrent with any development applications under Section 6.0300 Partitions and Subdivisions and/or Article VII Site Design Review. Subsequent land use approvals must be consistent with the master plan.

Findings, Satisfied with Conditions:

This applicant-initiated Master Plan process is being proposed concurrent with a 54-lot subdivision under Section 6.0300. A condition of approval will be that subdivision approval will be consistent with the master plan as provided in **Section 4.1485** Duration and Implementation.

4.1472 Master Plans and Refinements of Sub-district Boundaries

The Plan District Map establishes the general location of Sub-districts to be used in master plans and applied upon annexation. Applicants may propose refinements of the Sub-district boundaries as part of the master plan review process. Refinements of Sub-district boundaries may be approved if they:

(A)

Do not result in increases in density, and;

Findings, Satisfied:

A sub-district boundary refinement is proposed for the plan area. The adopted Pleasant Valley Plan District has 3.29 acres of MDR-PV shown for this site in a rectangular configuration at the SW corner of the site. Accounting for right-of-way, the net acreage is 2.26 acres which will allow density of 27 units to 45 units. This refinement yields 40 units of MDR-PV lots distributed throughout the development, as opposed to concentrating them at the SW corner. The MDR-PV density is therefore maintained with the boundary refinement.

(B) Are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan’s goals and policies for Pleasant Valley, and

Findings, Satisfied: Regarding the proposal to adjust the MDR-PV and LDR-PV sub-district boundaries as provided in Section 4.1472(C), in part Policy 1 of Goal 10.703 Residential Land Use/Neighborhoods is that “each Pleasant Valley neighborhood will include a wide variety of housing options for people of all ages and incomes”. Findings are made for Section 4.1472(C) that the overall housing variety and densities that would have been required and allowed for the LDR-PV and MDR-PV separately are still met with the distribution. The proposal is consistent with this criterion.

(C) Are consistent with and provisions of the Plan District and this chapter, or

Findings, Satisfied: Regarding the proposal to adjust the MDR-PV and LDR-PV sub-district boundaries as provided in Section 4.1472(C), findings are made that the proposal is consistent with Sections 4.1404 LDR-PV) and 4.1405 (MDR-PV). The distributed district lots will be developed consistent with the standards of these Plan Districts. The proposal is consistent with this criterion.

(D) Are necessary in light of a physical condition (e.g. topography) that makes the original sub-district designation impractical for the site.

Findings, Does Not Apply: As Criterion C applies to the refinement this criterion does not apply.

Submittal Requirements and Standards

4.1473 Level of Detail

(A) Master plans are intended to display conceptual designs for land use, transportation, natural resource areas, and other physical attributes of the subject property. Similarly, public facility information is intended to be submitted at a conceptual level of detail sufficient to demonstrate compliance with the approval criteria.

Findings, Not Satisfied: The proposed Veranda Master Plan does not provide a conceptual design for the development of a new neighborhood that will feature a residential land use, transportation improvements, preservation of natural resource areas, and stormwater facilities and neighborhood parks. These

conceptual plans are provided in the map Sheets 1 through 9 in the project proposal. The maps and text conceptually describe land use sub-district boundaries, street and block layout, housing and lotting study layouts, water public facilities, stormwater public facilities, wastewater public facilities, transportation and circulation public facilities and parks, trails and open space public facilities. It however, does not provide for the preservation of natural resource areas as identified as jurisdictional wetland by the Oregon Department of State Lands (DSL). The maps combined with the text do not provide, at a conceptual level, sufficient detail to demonstrate compliance with the approval criteria.

The master plan application is being proposed concurrently with the subdivision application; thus the conceptual plan represents the subdivision application.

(B) In addition to the submittal requirements of 11.0211 (Development Permit Application) 10 copies of a Master Plan site plan shall be submitted along with an 8-1/2 x 11-inch or 11 x 17-inch reduced copy of the Master Plan site plan. The Master Plan site plan must characterize all graphic data to scale.

Findings, Satisfied: The Master Plan site plan has been submitted electronically as currently required.

(C) If the applicant is in exclusive ownership of only part of the master plan area, then the applicant shall provide proof of attempt to contact those other owners by registered mail. The purpose of this provision is to encourage and provide opportunity for those property owners to participate in the master plan effort.

Findings, Not Applicable: The area of this proposed Master Plan is in exclusive ownership of the applicant. The requirement of the section is not applicable.

4.1474 Size of Master Plan

The purpose of this requirement is to provide a tool to meet the purpose statement above. By requiring minimum areas for master plans, the City intends to avoid incremental and uncoordinated development in Pleasant Valley.

Master plans must cover a minimum of 20 acres. The City may allow a master plan of less than 20 acres when the following are met:

- (A) Full compliance with this requirement will preclude the orderly and efficient development of an area within Pleasant Valley, or
 - (B) Full compliance with this requirement cannot be achieved due to a unique physical condition, parcel pattern, or other similar constraint, and
 - (C) Will not result in substantial development that could preclude compliance with applicable code provisions and comprehensive plan policies.
- Findings, Satisfied: The proposed Veranda Master Plan area is approximately 40.24 acres in size which exceeds the 20-acre minimum requirement.

4.1475 Neighborhood Design Guidelines

The concept of neighborhoods as the organizing format for residential land use is an essential part of the vision for Pleasant Valley. The development of individual properties is intended to fit together into complete, cohesive neighborhoods. Master plans must demonstrate compliance with the following guidelines, which are intended to be guiding but flexible in application.

- (A) Pleasant Valley shall have walkable neighborhoods with a defined center and edges. The edge of the neighborhood marks the transition from one neighborhood to another. An edge might be a natural area, a transit stop, or a tree-lined arterial street. The neighborhood center should be a main gathering space with priority given to public spaces, such as parks and civic buildings. From the center to the edge should be a comfortable walking distance of one-quarter to one-half mile radius (5-to-10-minute walk).

Findings, Satisfied: The proposed Master Plan provides a conceptual design of a neighborhood that is consistent with the guideline above. The neighborhood is based on a walkable ¼ mile radius, have a planned private open space/pocket park at the center and has defined edges. The edges are defined by Kelly Creek and its open space area to the north, Metro owned property to the south and southeast, and SE 190th Drive to the west. The proposal has maintained all the neighborhood with relationships to land uses, streets, natural resources, and public spaces.

- (B) Lots with less than 50 feet of frontage shall receive access from a rear alley, parking court, an access that is shared with an

adjoining property, or other similar access technique approved by the City.

Findings, Satisfied:

The plan has several areas that are proposed to have lots with less than 50 feet of frontage. This includes all MDR-PV lots that will be developed with single-family attached homes. These lots are proposed to have shared driveways.

(C)

Pleasant Valley neighborhoods shall be designed to increase transportation options. Neighborhoods shall be bike and walking friendly, especially so that children can travel safely. Neighborhoods shall be designed with transit in mind. A transit stop(s) should be located within walking distance of a neighborhood.

Findings, Satisfied:

The neighborhood, as designed, will provide direct routes for drivers, pedestrians, and bicyclists both within the neighborhood and to adjacent neighborhoods.

The streets within the new neighborhoods will be developed with sidewalks and planter strips for pedestrians and easy connections to bike lanes in the higher-classified roadways of SE 190th Drive.

(D)

Neighborhoods shall be designed to incorporate the existing natural features in a way that enhances the aesthetic environment while minimizing impacts. A compact, mixed-use neighborhood with transit options is one strategy for preserving open space and natural resource areas.

Findings, Not Satisfied:

Kelley Creek runs just north of the proposed Veranda neighborhood. The City has delineated an ESRA-PV area surrounding this creek encompassing approximately eight acres of the subject property. This neighborhood has been designed to limit impacts to this resource area by placing all development outside of the ESRA boundary.

The northerly Street H runs along the ESRA boundary, allowing for views into the resource area for the neighborhood to enjoy.

However, the over 5 acres of wetland present on the property has not been proposed for preservation as required. Developments, including lots and streets, are proposed within these natural resource areas.

(E)

Parks must be designed consistent with the Gresham Public Works Standards.

Findings, Satisfied:

There is no park area designated within this master plan area by the Pleasant Valley Concept Plan. However, a pocket park area of 0.165 acres is proposed near the center of the neighborhood. According to the Public Works standards, the minimum size of a neighborhood park is 2 acres. The proposal is for a 0.165-acre park located at the center of the neighborhood.

(F)

Neighborhoods shall have strong connections to the Kelley Creek and Mitchell Creek open space systems. The design and function of neighborhoods shall facilitate preserving, enhancing, and restoring Pleasant Valley's open space system.

Findings, Satisfied

with Conditions:

The Veranda neighborhood is not located near Mitchell Creek; rather it is located immediately south of Kelley Creek and its ESRA. Along most of these segments is a northern most street (Street H) planned for the development that runs along the ESRA boundary, allowing views directly into the resource area. H Street will be required to run the entire northern edge of the ESRA as discussed in detail under **Section 4.1478** to further preserve and enhance the resource area by preventing lots from backing into the ERSA. All development associated with the Veranda neighborhood will take place outside of the Kelley Creek ESRA, facilitating the preservation and restoration of this resource.

4.1476 Housing Variety

The purpose of this element is to: (a) assist in meeting the housing mixes intended for Pleasant Valley, as described in the Comprehensive Plan, (b) avoid over-repetition of the same building type/lot size, and (c) promote housing choices.

All master plans shall conceptually map and describe the proposed housing mix to demonstrate that a variety of lot sizes and/or building types have been provided.

(A)

In the LDR-PV Sub-district, this standard is met by providing a housing mix that meets one of the following:

(1)

A variety of lot sizes for detached dwellings where at least 30 percent of the proposed lots are greater than 7500 square feet and the remaining lots are either less than 7500 square feet or are attached dwellings, or

(2)

At least 15 percent of the dwellings have accessory dwellings, or

- (3) At least 30 percent of the dwellings shall be alley loaded and at least two of the street level variety techniques as listed in subsection (5) are implemented within the development, or
- (4) At least 30 percent of the dwellings shall have attached or detached garages that are either flush or behind the rear building line of the dwelling with access to the front and/or rear of the lot, or
- (5) Street level variety; four of the following:
 - a. 100 percent varied front setbacks at a minimum of 3 feet to adjoining lots;
 - b. 5 or more front elevations with no two the same side by side or opposite;
 - c. A minimum of two types of front exterior surface treatment, e.g. lap siding, stone, brick, stucco, etc;
 - d. 30 percent with attached covered front porch and railing, 48 square feet or larger;
 - e. 6:12 gable roofs with 2X8 fascia and front elevation shutters;
 - f. At least 2 or more vertical columns are provided on the front façade of the dwelling. Architectural styles may include Corinthian, Doric, Egyptian, Ionic Romanesque, etc.
 - g. 30 percent attached garage located 5 feet or more behind the front building line;
 - h. Front (street facing) dwelling window treatments that include one or more of the following; bay, bow, box, casement, double-hung, etc.;
 - i. Garage door treatment, e.g. 4 panel windows, carriage door, etc.; or
- (6) Other techniques found to be consistent with the purpose of this standard.

Findings, Satisfied:

As stated in the narrative, the homes will comply with Street Level Variety, Method 5, of the Housing Variety standard. Each home will have a minimum of four of the nine street level techniques as listed.

- (B) In the MDR-PV Sub-district, the housing variety standard is met by providing a housing mix that complies with the requirements listed below.
 - (1) For development of 40 dwelling units or less, a mix of housing types must include at least two of the following: –Single-Family detached dwellings, attached dwellings, single-family attached dwellings (3 or more units), single-family attached dwellings (2 units), two-unit attached dwellings, live-work units, and residential community service uses. If two housing types are

provided, the lesser number must be at least 30% of the total dwellings. If three or more housing types are provided, two of lesser number of them must comprise at least 30% of the total dwellings;

- (2) For development of more than 40 dwelling units, a mix of housing types must include at least three of the following:
–Single-Family detached dwellings, attached dwellings, single-family attached dwellings (3 or more units), single-family attached dwellings (2 units), two-unit attached dwellings, live-work units, and residential community service uses. If three or more housing types are provided, two of the lesser number of them must comprise at least 30% of the total dwellings;
- (3) For developments of more than 40 dwelling units, a mix of building types, within the same housing type, is required. Building types may vary according to number of units per building, orientation of front entries (street versus courtyard), and number of stories. Live-work units count as a separate building type. A minimum of three building types must be provided, with two of lesser number of them comprising at least 30% of the dwellings.
- (4) Other techniques which are found to be consistent with the purpose of this standard.

Findings, Satisfied:

The Master Plan proposes single-family detached and single-family attached (two units) dwellings for a total of 40 units. The proposed 12 Single-family detached units make up 30% of the 40 units as required. The other 28 units are single-family attached (2 units) units. This pattern shows consistency with criterion 1.

- (C) Where the Master Plan is proposed that includes LDR-PV and MDR-PV residential sub-districts in the same project, the Plan may combine the densities of the two sub-districts when the following criteria are met:
 - (1) The LDR Housing Variety per section 4.1476 is met; and
 - (2) The MDR Housing Variety per section 4.1476 is met; or
 - (3) Other techniques found to be consistent with the purpose of this standard and
 - (4) The density does not exceed the maximum density allowed by the underlying residential sub-districts,

Findings, Not Satisfied:

The proposed master plan includes the LDR-PV and MDR-PV residential sub-districts. The Master Plan has not proposed compliance with the density range permitted for each of the underlying sub-districts because the plan included the wet land (ESRA) areas in the density calculation. The proposal is not to combine the two sub-district densities.

- (D) Except as provided in Subsection (C) each sub-district within a Master Plan shall meet the average minimum and maximum density standards required for the sub-district. However, within any particular area of a Master Plan the actual density may be less than the minimum or more than the maximum sub-district requirements.

Findings: Not Satisfied.

The Veranda Master Plan is designed with 187 dwelling units, which is an amount that fits within the density range stated by Code including the wet land (ESRA) area. This number of units will be less when the ESRA standards are applied.

4.1477 Density Transition

The planned variety of housing types and mix of densities in Pleasant Valley will benefit from carefully planned transitions between the various building types and lot sizes. Transitions of housing types and density shall consider the following guidelines:

- (A) Similar uses, lot sizes, and building sizes should be located opposite each other on the same street.
- (B) For adjoining uses, similar street-side setbacks shall be used.
- (C) Appropriate locations for a change in use, lot size, or building type are:
- (1) The mid-point of blocks and or along alleys
 - (2) Block ends
 - (3) On lots that face neighborhood parks, private open spaces and/or ESRA's.
- (D) The same attached building type (e.g., apartments) should not extend more than 2 blocks or 900 feet (whichever is less) along the same street.
- (E) Figure 4.1477 illustrates the density transition concept and is intended as a guideline. [Figure not shown in staff report]

Findings, Satisfied:

Development of the proposed neighborhood layout took into account the above guidelines, and is generally designed so that

similar uses, lot sizes, and building sizes should be located opposite each other on the same street and to make transitions in lot size and building types at the mid-points and end of blocks. The proposed site plan has been designed so that attached buildings do not take up more than 2 blocks on the same street. This plan illustrated detached single family and attached single family units (2 units).

4.1478 Neighborhood Transition Design Areas (NTDA)

Master plans shall address the NTDA as provided for in Section 4.1465.

4.1465 Neighborhood Transition Design Area Overlay Sub-district

- (A) Purpose
- The neighborhood transition design area provides a transition between the ESRA-PV and adjoining land uses. Careful design and site planning can ensure that schools, residences, businesses, and other uses reduce their impact on the natural resources while enjoying the benefits of adjoining these natural areas.
- (B) Characteristics
- (1) The Neighborhood Transition Design area is a 100-foot transition area bordering the ESRA-PV. This area contains, as appropriate, a mix of uses including open space, trails, infrastructure (e.g. stormwater treatment), parkways and boulevards, residences, community centers and ESRA-oriented facilities such as a nature center or interpretative kiosk.
- (2) Residential areas are oriented towards and present a “friendly face” to the ESRA-PV. Such areas may be accessed via an alleyway. The rear yard of a dwelling in the NTDA may not face the ESRA. The City may allow exceptions to this standard due to topography, existing development, street layout, or other reasons that make this requirement impractical.
- (3) Where appropriate, local green streets follow the edges of the residential community as part of the transition area bordering the ESRA.
- (C) Standards
- To the extent practicable development within the NTDA shall be consistent with the characteristics described above and the following standards. These standards are intended to promote careful design and site planning so that uses and development

within the NTDA reduce their impact on, and benefit from, the adjacent ESRA areas.

Master plans must consider the following in designs for NTDA:

- (1) Location of compatible uses, such as open space, trails, infrastructure (e.g., stormwater treatment), parkways and boulevards, residences, community centers, and ESRA-oriented facilities such as a nature center or interpretative kiosk.
- (2) Residential areas that are oriented towards and present a friendly face to the ESRA. Such areas may be accessed via an alleyway.
- (3) Where appropriate, local green streets follow the edges of the residential community as part of the transition area bordering the ESRA.
- (4) When a lot or parcel borders the ESRA a maximum four-foot-high fence is permitted within 10 feet of the ESRA. This includes vegetative fencing.

Ways to create good transitions between neighborhoods and the ESRA include:

- (A) Community Uses – With appropriate access, the neighborhood edge can be an ideal location for community uses such as day care centers, schools, environmental learning centers, and community centers.
- (B) Street Edge – The street edge model places a public green street in the transition area. Homes along the street face the green street and the ESRA, making the ESRA a visible and valued part of the neighborhood. On the homes side of the street, there is a typical sidewalk. On the ESRA side of the street, pedestrian access can be provided on a soft surface trail.
- (C) Pedways – It will not always be feasible to place a community use, street, or open space along all ESRA. In cases where the backs of lots are in the transition area, pedestrian ways should be provided. The pedestrian ways should be spaced similar to the street network, i.e., one pedway about every 400 to 500 feet.

Findings, Not Satisfied:

Review of compliance with the NTDA standards cannot be fully made because the proposal did not take into consideration the over 5 acres of wetland (ESRA) area on the site.

The Veranda Master Plan site contains other NTDA areas; one area is adjacent to Kelley Creek to the north, adjacent to the southeast corner of the Metro Property to the south and the DSL recognized wetland at the western portion of the site. The

two mapped NTDA areas include open space areas and detached residences. Not all the residential areas that lie within the NTDA area of Kelly Creek to the north are designed to present a “friendly face” to the ESRA areas. Specifically, Lots 159 to 167 and 177 are designed to orient the front or side facades to the ESRA areas. In all other cases rear yards are oriented directly on to the ESRA area. This includes Lots 1 to 7, 178, 179, 158, and 150 to 153. Local Green Street is anticipated along the edges of these residential areas that border the ESRA area. Instead of paved sidewalks along the edges of the ESRA, natural edges with swales and unpaved pedestrian pathways will be required. With these, the homes will put eyes on the open space and present a welcoming and friendly connection with the open space and the trail system.

No community walls are proposed within the ESRA areas. Subsequent development permits on individual lots will ensure that fencing placed within 10 feet of the ESRA areas are no taller than four feet.

None of the community uses mentioned in the section are proposed within the Veranda community.

Back of lots will be located within the transition area along the southeast area of the site and within the Metro property. This includes Lot 76 and likely Lots 71 to 75 depending on the extent of the ESRA along that southeastern edge. **It will not be feasible to place a street along all the ESRA to the south due to street layout. In this instance, pedestrian ways will be required to be provided along the backs of lots and will be spaced in accordance with the section above.**

4.1479 Circulation Network

See Transportation review comments in this report.

4.1480 Parks, Open Space, and Natural Areas

The master plan shall display proposed locations for parks, open spaces, trails, and natural areas, consistent with those shown on the Plan District Map and the Pleasant Valley Public Facility Plan. The master plan may propose refinements in the location and size of neighborhood and community parks and schools. The master plan may also propose additional open space areas, greenways and trail networks as part of the overall master plan design.

Findings, Satisfied

with condition:

Sheet 1 of 1 is a map that shows parks and open spaces for the Veranda Master Plan/subdivision. Of note is that there are no parks shown on the Pleasant Valley Plan District Plan Map in this master plan area. Meanwhile Tract A will be a public open space area while Tract B will be private open space/park. A conservation easement area that follows the ESRA line is shown on Lot 76. **A pedestrian pathway that connects to the regional trail located north of the creek will be required.**

The Kelley Creek Greenway trail is shown along the northern edge of the Kelley Creek ESRA-PV. A pedestrian trail that connects to this regional trail will be required. This additional trail will provide connectivity to the Kelley Creek Greenway trail and will connect the neighborhood across the ESRA.

There are wetland areas on the site as determined by DSL in the enclosed Exhibit D. The Master Plan has not taken into consideration the presence of these wetland areas. Wetlands identified in the course of a development shall be treated as ESRA and the relevant ESRA standards applied (Section 4.1432.B). **A condition of approval will require that the ESRA standards of Section 4.1430 be addressed as part of the Master Plan and subsequent subdivision plan.**

4.1481 Stormwater Management, Green Development Practices and Green Streets

See Development Engineering review comments in this report.

4.1482 Water and Sanitary Sewer System

See Development Engineering review comments in this report.

Master Plan Procedures

4.1483 Procedures

Master plans shall be submitted before or concurrent with any development applications under Section 6.0300 Partitions and/or Article VII Site Design Review. Master Plans are reviewed as a Type III procedure.

Findings, Satisfied:

The proposed applicant-initiated Master Plan is being proposed concurrent with a 187-lot subdivision and is being reviewed as a Type III procedure.

4.1484 Approval Criteria

In approving a master plan, the approving authority shall find compliance with applicable sections of the Community Development Code and the following:

(A) All applicable master plan elements and standards have been addressed and met.

Findings, Satisfied: This staff report including the attached exhibits has been developed to demonstrate compliance with the applicable sections of the Community Development Code pertaining to development of a master plan.

(B) If a master plan includes areas that are not under the exclusive control of the applicant the master plan shall demonstrate compliance with Section 4.1476 for the part under the exclusive control of the applicant as if it were a stand-alone property. The areas not under exclusive control of the applicant shall be assumed to be within the average density range of the underlying district and will be required to demonstrate compliance with Section 4.1476 as part of subsequent land division or site design review application.

Findings, Satisfied: All areas of the master plan are under the exclusive control of the applicant and the applicant has demonstrated compliance with Section 4.1476.

(C) See also Section 4.1486 City-Initiated Master Plan.

Findings: The proposed Master Plan is not of a City-initiated endeavor.

4.1485 Duration and Implementation

An approved master plan remains in effect until development allowed by the plan has been completed or the plan is revised. Subsequent to the approval of the master plan, all development permits must be in substantial conformance with the master plan. As used here, substantial conformance means the development permit reasonably implements the conceptual direction of the master plan, recognizing that flexibility is needed to respond to more detailed site information and engineering that is available at the time of the development permit review and approval. Where proposed development permits are not in substantial compliance with the master plan, the applicant shall seek a revision through a separate application or in conjunction with the development application under review. A master plan revision is reviewed under the Type III procedure and must comply with Section 4.4184.

Findings, Satisfied

with Conditions: If a proposed development cannot substantially (as defined above) comply with the Veranda Master Plan, then the

developer will be required to seek a revision to the Master Plan per the process under the Type III procedures.

REGULATORY FINDINGS

Article 4 - Land Use District.

- 4.1407. The property is zoned LDR-PV and MDR-PV and the proposed single-family detached and single-family attached residential homes are permitted uses.
This standard is met.
- 4.1408. Base district lot dimension standards for both LDR-PV and MDR-PV districts are met as described in the applicant's narrative.
This standard is met.
- 4.1408 (A). Not Satisfied. The proposed density of 187 units did not take into consideration that over 5 acres of the site is designated by DSL as wetland (See Exhibit D). These wetland areas are to be largely excluded from development as required under Section 5.0402(I) HCA Overlay. A wetland identified during the course of a development permit review that meets the State of Oregon's definition of a "Locally Significant Wetland" shall be subject to the standards of this overlay. Such wetlands shall be added to the HCA map by the Manager, under the Type 1 procedure, after the development permit becomes final.
Furthermore, Section 5.0411 F.2 stipulates Standards for Subdivisions containing HCA. The subdivision as proposed is not approvable without addressing the HCA standards of this Section.

5.0400 - Habitat Conservation Area Overlay District. Not Satisfied.

The subject site is partially within the Habitat Conservation Overlay due to the presence of wetland on portions of the site (see Exhibit D). There is approximately over 5 acres of wetland on the site. Such wetlands are subject to the HCA standards. The standards of Section 5.0411.F.2 apply. These standards have not been addressed by the applicant.

The applicant submitted a wetland report that counters the DSL approved delineation (Exhibit E). The report by the applicant concludes that there is only 0.12 acres of wetland on the site. Concurrence by DSL will be required before the findings and

conclusions of this report are adopted and applied to this application. Therefore, this proposal is being reviewed based solely on the current DSL approval (Exhibit D).

Article 6 - Land Divisions.

Lot design standards are met as described here.

6.0001(C).

This standard requires that a tentative subdivision plan approval be followed by a final map approval. A final map application shall be submitted within one year of the effective date of the preliminary plan approval.

As the land division is not being approved at this time, the standards of this section are not applicable.

6.0010.

Until the wetland delineation issue is resolved, all lots proposed within the wetland areas may not be approved as proposed. This will cause foreseeable difficulties with acquiring building permits.

This standard is not met.

6.0011.

Subdivision lots and partition parcels created through the subdivision and partition process shall be rectilinear (Rectilinear Lot. A lot where the side lot lines are perpendicular to the street upon which it faces or are radial to the street in the case of a curved street). Irregular shaped (a lot that is other than rectangular in shape) subdivision lots and partition parcels are not allowed, except at the discretion of the Manager when based upon existing parent lot shape. Exceptions shall not be granted to comply with minimum lot size requirements or minimum setback requirements for existing structures.

Majority of the lots meet this standard. However, Lots 141, 150 and 158 are irregular shaped. A condition of approval will require that they meet the regular shaped lot standard.

This standard is met with condition of approval #xx.

6.0210.

Staff accepts the findings provided by the applicant.

These standards are met.

6.0214.

This standard establishes an effective period of one year from the date the tentative subdivision approval becomes final. A final map application shall be submitted prior to the expiration of this effective period.

As the land division is not being approved at this time, the standards of this section are not applicable.

Article 9 - Common Requirements.

The applicant's findings with regards to the applicable sections of Article 9.0000 are accepted as described in their narrative.

9.0500 and A5.000 - Grading and Drainage Plans & Public Facilities.

See other staff comments on compliance with these sections.

9.0800 - Parking Standards.

Parking standards will be met through the building permit review for the individual lots. The applicant has shown how this can be reasonably achieved.

These standards will be met at the time of building permit review.

9.1030 - Tree Regulations for Land Divisions.

Tree removal is not proposed as part of this development.

All existing trees will be protected as stated in the narrative.

9.1033 - Street Tree Planting.

The applicant has not provided a street planting plan. The plan, according to the applicant, will be provided at the time of construction plan submittal. Section 9.1033(C)(1) specifies a standard of one tree per 30 feet of frontage minus clear vision areas and driveways.

This standard is met with Condition of Approval #xx (Street Trees).

10.1520 Reduction in Minimum Street Frontage

Intent. The Manager under a Type II procedure may reduce the minimum street frontage required if 1) such reduction is necessary to satisfy neighborhood circulation and/or future street plan provisions (Section 9.0700), and 2) Associated findings show either condition (A) or condition (B) exists, and condition (C) exists: A. Topographical constraints preclude the application of the minimum standard; or B. The land division is part of an infill process where the application of the minimum street frontage standard would prohibit the division of the

parcel. Infill development within LDR-5, LDR-7, TLDR and TR shall also be subject to the standards of Section 4.0138; and C. It is not feasible to extend the public street system to provide local circulation and the minimum street frontage. For purposes of the section “not feasible” shall mean that there is no reasonable connection to another public street; or that the property configuration or physical constraints preclude connection; or lotting patterns surrounding the site or development patterns preclude street extensions, and/or an adopted Future Street Plan affects the site.

Lots 50, 75, 76, 77, 78 178, and 179 are proposed as flag lots that do not meet the required minimum street frontage of 35 feet. Based on the present configuration of the subdivision, all the proposed flag lots meet the condition for reduction of the minimum street frontage. The reduction is necessary to satisfy neighborhood circulation. The limitations placed by ESRA precludes the application of the minimum standard, and it is not possible to extend the public street system to provide local circulation and minimum street frontage. Nevertheless, with the extension of Street H to the east and west, Lots 178 and 179 are likely to have street frontages.

These standards are met with condition of approval that Lots 178 and 179 have frontage on Street H upon extension of the street.

II. AGENCY COMMENTS

ADDRESSING COMMENTS

PROJECT #: SD/MIS 20-26000343

FROM: Amanda Lunsford, Administrative Analyst

DATE: November 3, 2021


ADDRESSING COMMENTS:

The proposal is for a 187-lot subdivision on 38.90 acres located at 7928 SE 190th Dr., Gresham, OR 97080. However, this address will not be used for the housing community. Instead, new addresses will be assigned to each lot and released once the final plat is recorded with Multnomah County. The applicant and/or representative may contact the Addressing Coordinator at 503-618-2235 or Addressing@GreshamOregon.gov to obtain addresses before submitting for building permits. An official Notice of Address Assignment will be distributed to the applicable agencies after the decision has been made final. Addresses will be assigned in accordance with the City of Gresham Street Naming and Property Numbering Guidelines of Gresham Development Code Appendix 13.

Additionally, the US Postal Service requires that mail delivery to all new developments be centralized by using centralized box units. It is the responsibility of the developer/builder to purchase, install, and maintain the mail receptacles. I can provide a handout from the Post Office with more information if needed.

For the plat, please make the following adjustments:

- Label STREET A as SW SANDLEWOOD AVENUE
- Label STREET B as SW REDFERN AVENUE
- Label STREET C as SW TEGART AVENUE
- Label STREET D as SW BRIXTON AVENUE
- Label STREET E as SW EASTWOOD AVENUE
- Label STREET F as SW 47TH STREET
- Label STREET G as SW 45TH STREET



FIRE COMMENTS

PROJECT #: PZ20-343

FROM: Samantha Chandler, Gresham Fire

DATE: 7/22/21

FIRE COMMENTS:

187-lot subdivision

NOTE: Building permit plans shall include a separate "FIRE ACCESS AND WATER SUPPLY PLAN" indicating all of the following

1. Prior to construction material arriving on site, provide a temporary address of 4" at the property entrance. Prior to building final, a permanent 4" address of contrasting color with the background shall be installed on each residence. OFC 505
2. Residential homes up to 3,600 sq ft require a minimum of 1,500 gpm fire flow; 3,601 - 4,800 sq. ft. require 1,750 gpm, and 4,801 - 6,200 sq. ft require 2,000 gpm. Each building is required to be sprinklered if the code's minimum water flow is not available. OFC App B
3. Each public fire hydrant used for fire flow for this property shall have a 5-inch Storz adapter with National Standard Threads installed on the 4 ½ -inch fire hydrant outlet. The adapter shall be constructed of high-strength aluminum alloy, have a Teflon coating on the seat and threads, and use a rubber gasket and two (2) set screws to secure it in place. The adapter shall be provided with an aluminum alloy pressure cap. The cap shall be attached to the hydrant barrel or Storz adapter with a cable to prevent theft of the cap. Adapter shall be Harrington HPHA50-45NHWCAP or equal approved by Gresham Fire. Fire hydrant locations shall be marked with a blue reflective 4"x4 pavement marker at the centerline of the access road.
4. A fire hydrant shall be located within 600 feet of the furthest point on each house. Hydrants must meet spacing requirements per the Oregon Fire Code. OFC 508.5.1 & Appendix C
5. Fire apparatus access shall comply with the requirements of OFC 503 and shall extend to within 150 feet of all portions of the home and all portions of the exterior walls of the first story of the home as measured by an approved route around the exterior of the building. OFC 503.1.1
6. The turning radius for all emergency apparatus roads shall be: 28' inside and 48' outside radius. OFC 503.2.4

7. Property must have approved address numbers that are legible and visible at all times from the street fronting the property. Numbers shall be visible from both directions at the street entrance, this may require a two-sided sign at the street. Sign at the street shall be green with reflective white numbers a minimum on 4" in size with a minimum ½" stroke. OFC 505.1
8. Required fire hydrants and access road shall be installed and approved PRIOR to any combustible construction material arriving on site. OFC 1412.1
9. Dead end fire apparatus access roads in excess of 150 feet in length shall be provided with an approved turnaround. One example is Cul-de-sacs which shall be minimum 96 feet in diameter with no parking allowed. OFC Appendix D
10. No Parking Fire Lane signage or curb marking may be required. Fire access roads 20' – 26' wide do not allow parking on either side within that space. Parking spaces outside that space are acceptable. Appendix D103.6/D103.6

TRANSPORTATION COMMENTS

PROJECT#: 20-26000343

FROM: Jay Higgins

DATE: 5/2/2022

Transportation Comments:

Description: A Master Plan and subdivision of one parcel of 38.90 acres south of Kelley Creek and east of SE 190th Drive. The application was submitted prior to the adoption of the Natural Resource Overlay code and uses Pleasant Valley Environmentally Sensitive/Restoration Areas overlay code.

Master Plan

The applicant is proposing a Master Plan as described by 4.1470 Purpose and required by 4.1471 Applicability. The areas of the code that are relevant to transportation for a Master Plan are 4.1475 Neighborhood Design Guidelines, 4.1478 Neighborhood Transition Design Areas which references 4.1465 Neighborhood Transition Design Area Overlay Sub-district, and 4.1479 Circulation Network.

For 4.1475(B) street designs shall support street trees, rain gardens, and on-street parking by minimizing the width of driveway curb cuts or other techniques. The applicant is showing street designs that support street trees, rain gardens, and on-street parking by constructing to the current street standards and sharing driveways for all lots with less than 50 feet of frontage.

For 4.1475(C) Neighborhoods shall be designed to increase transportation options. Neighborhoods shall be bike and walking friendly, especially so that children can travel safely. The applicant is improving transportation options by building sidewalks on all streets. Transportation options for pedestrians can be further improved if the applicant reduces the size of the large block bounded by Streets E, F and G by constructing a street or pedestrian path, which is discussed below. The Kelley Creek Trail is an off-street option for travel and recreation and the safest type of bicycle and pedestrian facility for children. Safety can further be improved for children by making a direct connection to the Kelley Creek Trail by crossing the creek. Since a connection for water utilities is needed across the creek, a bridge can serve dual purposes.

For 4.1475(D) Neighborhoods shall be designed to incorporate the existing natural features in a way that enhances the aesthetic environment while minimizing impacts. The applicant has provided a wetland delineation which shows approximately 5 acres of wetlands over different portions of the site. The applicant's master plan/street plan does not integrate these wetlands, but ignores their existence, putting roads and proposed housing on top of the wetlands. Since these wetlands meet the State's definition of a Locally Significant Wetland they are subject to the standards of the HCA Overlay per Section 5.0402(I). As there is no information about the impacts to the wetlands under the HCA code or acknowledgement that the wetlands exist in the Master Plan process, 4.1475(D) is not met.

For 4.1475(F) neighborhoods shall have strong connections to the Kelley Creek open space systems. The applicant has stated the Kelley Creek system will be a separate track dedicated to the City. The applicant is not showing much connection to Kelley Creek or the open space system, with only the looped Street H providing public access. A public pedestrian connection across the creek to the Kelley Creek Trail multi-use path would better connect pedestrians and bicyclists to the open space and the Kelley Creek Trail. Since a connection for water utilities is needed across the creek, a bridge can serve dual purposes.

For 4.1478, which references 4.1465, the standards in 4.1465(C).1 require consideration of open spaces, trails, parkways, boulevards and other development types to be within 100 feet of the ESRA boundary. 4.1465(C).3 requires streets to follow the edges of the ESRA. The applicant has proposed Street H to be within this boundary for 450 feet with homes backing on the ESRA for the remainder of the ESRA boundary. There does not appear to be a reason Street H or some type of pathway could not continue east and west and separate more of the ESRA from the subdivision.

For 4.1479 the master plan shall display a conceptual lay out of streets, alleys, pedestrian routes, bicycle routes, trails and transit facilities, and should reflect the Pleasant Valley Transportation System Plan and street classifications, block lengths and trails. The applicant is showing a network of streets, which can be used by vehicles, pedestrians, and bicyclists. The applicant is consistent with the Pleasant Valley Transportation System Plan, which shows streets classified larger than collector streets, by providing access to SE 190th Drive. Connecting streets on properties within 600 feet of the site are proposed and appear to meet general requirements for block length and connectivity. The conformance with block length within the proposed subdivision is discussed further below.

There was originally a multi-use path on the south side of Kelley Creek. This has been removed by the Gresham Parks and Trails Master Plan, which assumed strong connections to the north side Kelley Creek Trail. The applicant is assuming that all travel flows of people will be to SE 190th Drive and is not showing a connection across the creek to the Kelley Creek Trail. As discussed above, a connection is needed to serve pedestrian and bicycle connectivity needs without traveling to SE 190th Drive.

Street Plan

The base street for a subdivision in Pleasant Valley is the Local Green Queuing Street, which has a 50-foot cross section. Where block lengths are permitted to exceed 400 feet the applicant shall use a Green Transitional Street with a 56-foot cross section. The applicant is showing Streets B, C, D and E as Green Queuing Streets. Street E is over 400 feet, which is due to topographical constraints in street layout of other blocks. As the length of Street E is over 400 feet it is classified as a Green Transitional Street and needs to dedicate 56-feet of right of way. The segment of Street C and Street E north of Street G are also part of a block face that exceeds 400 feet with Street H. These segments are classified as Green Transitional Streets and will need to dedicate 56-feet of right of way.

The applicant is showing Streets A, F, G and H as Green Transitional Streets. Street F has a block length over 400 feet along the southern boundary of the project. The property to the south is owned by Metro as a natural resource area with no expected public access. As no access is needed to the south for future development, the street is permitted to exceed the 400-foot block length and is a Green Transitional Street. Street A is a continuation of Street F and can be continued as a Green Transitional Street to the intersection with Street G as proposed. Street H has a block length over 400 feet

on the north side of the street where the applicant is proposing the street between the ESRA and the houses. The block on the south side of the street is also over 400 feet in length. As it aligns with blocks to the south and has a north side block face that is over 400 feet it can exceed the block length limit.

Streets G has blocks over 400 feet on the north side, which can be exempted from the block length limit due to physical limitation of the creek, as there is no vehicle crossing other than SE 190th Drive north of the proposed new lots.

The large block bounded by Streets F, E and G is proposed by the applicant as an exception to block length due to topography where the steepness of the slope makes an additional street connection infeasible. As the block currently creates two block lengths over 550 feet, the block will need to be made smaller if possible. There appears to be an area west of lots 90 and 143 where a connection would be approximately 10% slope. This location would meet the City requirements for local street slopes and reduce the block length.

Right-of-Way Dedication

SE 190th Drive is classified as a Standard Arterial in the City's Transportation System Plan. There is currently 30 feet of right of way to centerline along the lot's SE 190th Drive frontage. To meet City standard for a Standard Arterial, an additional 18 feet of right of way is needed along the entire frontage. The applicant is showing this dedication on Sheet 104 in the street cross-section. This standard is met.

All Green Transitional Streets shall be dedicated with a 56-foot cross section, which the applicant is showing on Sheet 104. All Green Queuing Streets shall be dedicated with a 50-foot cross section, which the applicant is showing on Sheet 104.

Frontage Improvements

The northernmost segment of the lot's frontage to SE 190th Drive will include a bridge over Kelley Creek. A City of Gresham capital improvement project to construct the bridge and its approaches is currently unfunded. The applicant will need to provide an asphalt transition between the frontage improvements as proposed in Sheet 104 and the existing bridge. The exact length of this transition can be determined during Public Facilities Permit Review.

The remaining lot frontage on SE 190th Drive requires improvements for a standard arterial; 33 feet of paved roadway, a 6-inch curb, an 8-foot planter strip, and a 6-foot sidewalk. The applicant is showing these improvements in Sheet 104, this standard is met.

All Green Transitional Streets shall be constructed with a 5-foot sidewalk, 6-foot planter strip, 6-inch curb, and 16 feet of asphalt to centerline. All Green Queuing Streets shall be

constructed with a 5-foot sidewalk, 6-foot planter strip, 6-inch curb, and 14 feet of asphalt to centerline. The applicant is showing these street cross-sections on Sheet 104.

Streetlighting with LED fixtures must be provided on all public street frontages at an appropriate spacing based on each street's classification, per Section 6.02.14 of the PWS. A streetlight plan, including a preliminary plan showing the connection to Portland General Electric's (PGE's) current system must be submitted with the construction plans at the time of building permit review. Street trees need to be installed along all frontages in accordance with PWS 6.02.15 and GCDC 9.0123.

Traffic Impact Analysis

The TIS studied four study intersections: Powell & Highland, 190th & Butler/Giese, 190th & Richey, and Foster & Richey. The two new subdivision intersections to 190th were also studied for capacity and sight distance safety.

The study found intersection capacity issues at the intersections of SE 190th Drive & SE Richey Road and SE Foster Road & SE Richey Road, and proposed mitigations at both which are conditioned below.

The study found a safety concern at the intersection of SE 190th Drive & SE Butler Road, with a crash rate of 1.34 crashes per million entering vehicles, well above the number that would raise concerns. However, that intersection has since been signalized, so the crash rate should be lower or at least the severity of the crashes should be reduced.

The two proposed subdivision intersections have adequate sight distance and should not be moved further south because of impacts to intersection sight distance.

Recommendation:

This application is recommended for denial, as it does not meet the requirements for 4.1475(D) for Pleasant Valley Master Plans or address any HCA sections of the code for impacts to identified wetlands.

The following conditions are recommended if the application is moved forward for approval.

- Adjust the master plan/street plan to include the following streets as Green Transitional Streets with a 56-foot dedication:
 - Street E - from Street F to Street H
 - Segment of Street C north of Street G
 - Segment of Street E north of Street G
- Reduce the size of the large block bounded by Streets F, E and G by bisecting it with a street.

- Create a pedestrian and bicycle connection across Kelley Creek to the Kelley Creek Trail.
- Extend Street H to separate the subdivision from the ESRA or reorient houses on the edge of the ESRA and use ped-paths to separate development from the ESRA.
- At the SE 190th Drive & SE Richey Road intersection, road widening to add a southbound right-turn pocket with at least 105 feet of storage.
- At the SE Foster Road & SE Richey Road intersection, road widening to add a right-turn pocket on the Richey Road approach with at least 161 feet of storage.

DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING COMMENTS

NATURAL RESOURCES REVIEW COMMENTS

FROM: Kathy Majidi

In January 2020, the Oregon Department of State Lands (DSL) reviewed and approved a wetland delineation for the Veranda parcel, submitted to the state for review by Jim Leeper/Brookside Signature Homes and his wetland consultant at the time. This delineation showed over 5.5 acres of wetland resources across the site that would fall under the jurisdiction of the state, meaning a state permit would be required for cumulative fill or annual excavation of 50 cubic yards or more within these water resource areas. The delineation had not been shared with the City at the time that the Veranda application was submitted. As the City had no knowledge of a DSL-approved delineation, no assessment of local significance was performed by the City at that time to assess whether those jurisdictional resources met the standards for when the city applies local protection in the form of regulated buffer areas around the wetlands.

The Veranda application (MPLAN-21-00652) was originally submitted in December 2020, and the application did not reflect the 5.52 acres of wetland resources as reviewed and approved by DSL 11 months prior. Rather, the site layout reflected a greatly reduced presence (0.12 acres) of wetlands, identified by a new wetland consultant representing the applicant. The City was informed by DSL that they had already apprised this consultant and the Veranda team, as part of a May 2021 field site visit, that the January 2020 approved delineation of 5.52 acres would remain the official wetland mapping of the site until the Veranda team could demonstrate reduced wetland presence via a new formal delineation submitted for DSL review. DSL advised the delineation submittal should be timed such that DSL could perform a wet weather (January - early April) site visit as part of their delineation review. To date, this process to gain DSL review and concurrence of a new wetland delineation has not been initiated by the Veranda team. As such the City must work off of the approved January 2020 wetland delineation showing 5.52 acres of wetland resources.

Based on the January 2020 delineation provided to the City by DSL, and based on off-site observations by City Natural Resources staff, all or the vast majority of wetlands on the site would meet local significance criteria, and ESRA-PV protective buffers would be required around the wetlands. 4.1432 states that the ESRA-PV standards are applicable to areas on the Comprehensive Plan Map and also to wetlands identified during a development permit that meet the definition of “Locally Significant”

Recycling and Solid Waste Comments

Applicant must allow for enough turn around space for a refuse truck to turn around safely in the proposed ‘eye brows’. No parking signs must be placed in the eyebrows to prevent blockage. If this cannot be accommodated, Street F must make a connection with Street G on the east side of the development. Trucks need direct safe turn around or exit with 52 foot turning radius. Developer- Please review design Matrix for assistance and feel free to connect with us: Nick.isbister@greshamoregon.gov

III. PUBLIC COMMENTS

No public comments were received prior to this Staff Report being prepared. Additional public comments may be received up to or at the hearing on May 9, 2022.

IV. RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends denial of the Master Plan and the Subdivision proposal.

End of Staff Report